IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

[2] ALEJANDRO MARTÍNEZ,

Defendant

CRIMINAL 05-0312 (JAG)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

I. Personal Background

On September 14, 2005, Alejandro Martínez, the defendant herein, was charged in a two count-indictment. The defendant agrees to plea guilty to the both counts of the indictment.

Count one charges that the defendant aided and abetted by each other and others unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally import into the customs territory of the United States, from a place outside thereof, that is, outside the customs waters of the United States, a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, approximately 32.8 kilograms (gross weight) of cocaine, a Schedule II, Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 952(a) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Count two charges that the defendant aided and abetted by each other and others unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and intentionally possess with the intent to distribute a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, approximately 32.8 kilograms (gross weight) of cocaine, a Schedule II,

CRIMINAL 05-0312 (JAG)

2

3 4

1

2

Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(A), and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

5

Defendant filed a motion for change of plea on August 25, 2006.

6

7

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

8

On September 8, 2006, while assisted by Ramón Garay Medina, Esq., the defendant, by consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to the both counts of the indictment.

9

10

11

12

13

14

In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings

15 16

17 18

presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was

19

also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two.

20

21

III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

22

A. Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

23 24

25

26

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1)

absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge

27

28

to any term of incarceration.

2

1

of the consequences of the guilty plea. <u>United States v. Cotal-Crespo</u>, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing <u>United States v. Allard</u>, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1st Cir. 1991)).

5

4

United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999).

6 7

understood that if convicted on count one or count two, he was exposed to a term of imprisonment of not less than 10 years and not more than life, a fine not to

8

exceed \$4,000,000, and a term of supervised release of at least five years in addition

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he

10

The court must impose a mandatory penalty assessment of \$100, per offense,

12

to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant 18 U.S.C. § 3013.

1314

court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term

Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the

15

and fine were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea

16

if he was unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

17

18

19

Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist

Ⅱ.

the court in reaching a fair sentence.

20

21

Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to

22

questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what

23

sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

2425

B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

26

To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his right:

27

28

- 1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by the prosecution in relation to his decision not to testify.
- 3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.
- 4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means of competent evidence.
- 5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of witnesses.

Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional rights.

C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty

Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely on his statement that he is guilty.

Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty he would not be allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might disagree with the sentence imposed, and that when he were under supervised CRIMINAL 05-0312 (JAG)

release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that privilege could be revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been abolished. He will be deported once his sentence is completed.

D. Plea Agreement

There is no agreement between the parties. This is a straight plea.

E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant basically concurred.

Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to establish all elements of the offense charged. Should defendant meet all the requirements of the "safety valve" provisions of U.S.S.G. § 5C1.2, then the defendant would be entitled to a further two level reduction in the base offense level.

F. Voluntariness

The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead guilty. He came to the hearing for the purpose of pleading guilty and listened attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would prove if the case had proceeded to trial.

IV. Conclusion

The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to the both counts of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court, concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the

CRIMINAL 05-0312 (JAG)

preceding sections, I find that the defendant Alejandro Martínez is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full

Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant

knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.

and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to counts one and two of the

indictment.

This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt. Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986).

At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 9th day of September, 2006.

S/ JUSTO ARENAS Chief United States Magistrate Judge